

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/666,642	09/21/2000	Hu Yang	2039.008200	9201	
23720	7590 . 05/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.			MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042		44	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110001011,			1711 .		
e e e		and the second of the second	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

M	/
ldress	
ly. ommunication.	
e merits is	
consideration.	
rejected.	
FR 1.121(d). ΓΟ-152.	
Stage	

		7 0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	09/666,642	YANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	/ IS SET TO EVRIPE MON	ITU(S) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	arch 2004.	1				
·—·	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
, .	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-30,32-73,75-91 and 93-115</u> is/are pending in the application.						
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,12-14,16,38,42,67-69,81-83,85,99-101 and 114 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,15,17-30,32-37,41,43-66,70-73,75-80,84,86-91,93-98,102-113 and 115 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
·	priority under 25 LLS C & 440(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] lates iou. Summon a	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Serial No. 09/666,642

Art Unit 1711

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 15, 17-30, 32-37, 41, 43-66, 70-73, 75-80, 84, 86-91, 93-98, 102-113 and 115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bansleben et al. (USP 6,255,248) in view of Cahill et al. (USP 6,083,585).

See the first Office action at the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 et seq.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 15, 17-30, 32-37, 41, 43-66, 70-73, 75-80, 84, 86-91, 93-98, 102-113 and 115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

Serial No. 09/666,642 Art Unit 1711

102(b) as being anticipated by Matthews et al. (USP 6,254,804), newly cited by applicants.

See the Office action of 12-8-03 at page 3 line 5 et seq.

Applicants' arguments filed 2-9-04 have been fully

considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

It is noted that the cover letter of the Office action indicated a non-final action while page 5 line 3 et seq. stated that the action was final. Therefore applicants are given a new final Office action.

With regard to Bansleben discloses a material resulting from 1,3 insertion of cyclopentene units. While this may well be true, applicants' claims do not exclude such units. Applicants in fact argue that their materials include those containing "a small fraction of other units which the skilled artisan would understand could arise as a result of "polymerization errors". However such small number of "polymerization errors" could be construed as the 10-30% of units derived from 1,3 insertion of cyclopentene.

With regard to Matthews, applicants appear to be correct that Matthews actually transesterifies polyethylene-co-methylacrylate and not polyethylene vinyl alcohol. Nonetheless, applicants' claims embrace such a product and in fact applicants' dependent claims recite a number of materials containing pendant

Serial No. 09/666,642 Art Unit 1711

groups such as claim 37 which recites polymers containing ethylene units and methylacrylate units, etc.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

Serial No. 09/666,642

Art Unit 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

J. Mullis:cdc

May 6, 2004

